

Clinical trials and administrative data: a marriage proposal

Dr. Annette Hay

Clinical Hematologist

Senior Investigator, NCIC Clinical Trials Group



Background

- Long term follow up is an essential component of clinical trials in chronic diseases including cancer
- Both benefits and adverse effects of interventions may take years to emerge
- Traditional clinical trial approach has been to follow patients actively, scheduling appointments at the relevant specialty centre



Background

- Traditional approach is becoming challenging
 - Follow up care is moving to the community
 - Costs of bringing patients to a centre solely to obtain clinical trial data are substantial
 - Centres are unable to cover these costs and academic clinical trial sponsors can't afford them
- NCIC CTG must explore different options for long term follow up



Could administrative databases in Ontario be used for long term clinical trial follow up?

Feasible?

Acceptable?



Could administrative databases in Ontario be used for long term clinical trial follow up?

Feasible?

Acceptable?



ICES Probabilistic Data Linkage

Research question has two components

- Can we use identifiers held by NCIC CTG to link to individuals in ICES databases?
- If so, can we match trial outcome data?



Probabilistic Data Linkage

NCIC Clinical Trials Group

- CO17 and CO20: randomized phase 3 clinical trials in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer

ICES

- CIHI-DAD, CIHI-SDS, CIHI-NACRS, OHIP, RPDB, OCR, OBSP

Using

- birth date*, patient initials*, gender, diagnosis site, date of diagnosis, histology



Preliminary data presented on linkage results:
publication to follow



Could administrative databases in Ontario be used for long term clinical trial follow up?

Feasible?

Acceptable?



Survey of patient preferences around data linkage

- Self administered survey of cancer out-patients
- Based on hypothetical scenario of clinical trial participation
- University Hospital Network & Cancer Centre of Southeastern Ontario
- Goal to determine patient's attitudes to
 - Research access to administrative databases
 - Sharing identifiers to facilitate linkage



Preliminary data presented on survey results:
publication to follow



Conclusions

- Majority of cancer patients in Ontario
 - support linkage
 - would be willing to provide identifiers to facilitate
- Probabilistic linkage has 90% success rate
 - OHIP number would optimize
 - analyses ongoing



Considerations

- Balance between
 - protecting patient privacy and
 - facilitating research to improve patient outcomes
- Possible to extend into other jurisdictions?
- Ready to incorporate ‘administrative follow-up’ into existing and future clinical trials?



PRIVACY
LINKAGE
NCCCTE

y

h

A

Thank you

Erin Bell

Ximena Camacho

Matthew Campbell

Nic Cheng

Laura Chiarelli

Nelson Chong

Elyse Corn

Andrea Perez Cosio

Janet Dancey

Craig Earle

Jackie Edwards

Bryn Fisher

Gurshan Gill

Tracie Hanna

Julie Holiday

Doris Howell

Kristina Kulik

Mindy Liang

Carrie Lindsay

Yvonne Leung

Geoff Lui

Christine Maize

Susan Marlin

Liam Murphy

Chris O'Callaghan

Joe Pater

Mike Sung

Ashlee Vennetilli

Stephanie Willing

Alison Urton